

Westlaw.

6 Fed.Appx. 102

Page 1

6 Fed.Appx. 102, 2001 WL 431516 (C.A.2 (N.Y.))
 (Cite as: 6 Fed.Appx. 102)

Crockett v. Pataki
 C.A.2 (N.Y.),2001.

This case was not selected for publication in the Federal Reporter. RULINGS BY SUMMARY ORDER DO NOT HAVE PRECEDENTIAL EFFECT. CITATION TO SUMMARY ORDERS FILED AFTER JANUARY 1, 2007, IS PERMITTED AND IS GOVERNED BY THIS COURT'S LOCAL RULE 0.23 AND FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 32.1. IN A BRIEF OR OTHER PAPER IN WHICH A LITIGANT CITES A SUMMARY ORDER, IN EACH PARAGRAPH IN WHICH A CITATION APPEARS, AT LEAST ONE CITATION MUST EITHER BE TO THE FEDERAL APPENDIX OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY THE NOTATION: " (SUMMARY ORDER)", UNLESS THE SUMMARY ORDER IS AVAILABLE IN AN ELECTRONIC DATABASE WHICH IS PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE WITHOUT PAYMENT OF FEE (SUCH AS THE DATABASE AVAILABLE AT HTTP://WWW.CA2.USCOURTS.GOV), THE PARTY CITING THE SUMMARY ORDER MUST FILE AND SERVE A COPY OF THAT SUMMARY ORDER TOGETHER WITH THE PAPER IN WHICH THE SUMMARY ORDER IS CITED. IF NO COPY IS SERVED BY REASON OF THE AVAILABILITY OF THE ORDER ON SUCH A DATABASE, THE CITATION MUST INCLUDE REFERENCE TO THAT DATABASE AND THE DOCKET NUMBER OF THE CASE IN WHICH THE ORDER WAS ENTERED. Please use FIND to look at the applicable circuit court rule before citing this opinion. Second Circuit Rules § 0.23. (FIND CTA2 s 0.23.)

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.
 Leressa CROCKETT, Plaintiff-Appellant,
 v.

George E. PATAKI, Joseph Lynch, Thomas Doherty, and The New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal,
 Defendants-Appellees.

No. 00-7443.

April 25, 2001.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, Loretta A. Preska, Judge.

Jeffrey M. Bernbach, New York, NY, for appellant.
 Fredric S. Newman, Melissa L. Weiss, New York, NY, for appellees.

Present FEINBERG, PARKER and COVELLO,
 Circuit Judges.

*103 SUMMARY ORDER

**1 UPON DUE CONSIDERATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the decision of said District Court be and it hereby is AFFIRMED.

Leressa Crockett appeals from the judgment of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Preska, J.) entered on May 15, 2000, granting appellee's motion for summary judgment and dismissing appellant's complaint in its entirety. The motion was granted from the bench in a hearing on March 8, 2000.

Appellant claims that she was terminated from her position as Deputy Commissioner for Housing Management at the New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal on account of her race in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 29 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.* and the New York Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law § 290 *et seq.* After "much ink and paper ... expended on the issue," the district court concluded that no issue of material fact existed as to whether the final decision to terminate appellant was made by James Natoli, and that appellant had failed to raise an issue of fact as to whether appellees' proffered reason for her

6 Fed.Appx. 102

Page 2

6 Fed.Appx. 102, 2001 WL 431516 (C.A.2 (N.Y.))
(Cite as: 6 Fed.Appx. 102)

termination-political considerations-was pretextual. The district court further concluded that, even if pretext had been established, appellant had raised no question of fact as to whether a discriminatory motive could be inferred from appellees' actions.

After a thorough review of the record, we are convinced that the district court's conclusions were sound. We therefore affirm.

C.A.2 (N.Y.),2001.
Crockett v. Pataki
6 Fed.Appx. 102, 2001 WL 431516 (C.A.2 (N.Y.))

END OF DOCUMENT